IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

C.A. NO: 04 11975RWZ

PACIFIC INI	DEMNITY COMPANY,)	
Plaint	ff)	
VS.)	
ALFRED KEMP, Individually and d/b/a KEMP PLUMBING,)))	
AND			
MARTIN SANDBORG, Individually and d/b/a SANDBORG PLUMBING and HEATING,)))	
Defendants)	
		DEFENADNT MARTIN SANDBORG, DBORG PLUMBING AND HEATING	
1.	Did the Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the		
	Defendant Martin Sandborg,	Individually and d/b/a Sandborg Plumbing	
	and Heating, failed to exercise	se the degree of skill required of him in the	
	circumstances of this case?		
	Yes	No	
2.	Did the Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the		
	Defendant Martin Sandborg, Individually and d/b/a Sandborg Plumbing		
	and Heating, breached the implied warranty of workmanlike performance		
	in this case?		
	Yes	No	

	Yes	No	
	caused damages to the Plaintiff?		
	Individually and d/b/a Sandborg Plumbing and Heating, proximately		
	warrant of workmanlike performance	e by the Defendant Martin Sandborg,	
	prove by a preponderance of the evid	dence that the breach of the implied	
3.	If your answers to questions 1 and 2	are in the affirmative, did the Plaintif	